UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

RICKIE HILL,

Plaintiff,
v.

LAUB, et al.,

Defendants.

On September 30, 2021, the Court issued a screening order permitting one claim to proceed and dismissing other claims either with or without leave to amend. Docket No. 3. The Court granted Plaintiff 30 days from the date of that order to file an amended complaint curing the deficiencies of the complaint. *Id.* The Court specifically stated that if Plaintiff chose not to file an amended complaint, the action would proceed on Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment claim against Defendant Laub only. *Id.* at 10. The 30-day period for Plaintiff to file an amended complaint has now passed. Pursuant to the screening order, this action will proceed on Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment claim against Defendant Laub only.

The Court has an inmate early mediation program that is unique to the District of Nevada and is designed to attempt to save resources by referring the parties in some civil rights cases to mediation. Of course, defendants in such cases have the right not to make any settlement offers, and plaintiffs have the right not to accept settlement offers. When mediation does not appear likely to be productive, the Court may choose not to refer a case to mediation to preserve limited judicial resources.

Plaintiff recently has attended multiple inmate mediations in his civil rights cases. *See*, e.g., 3:20-cv-00495-MMD-WGC, 2:20-cv-01655-KJD-DJA. The mediations have all been unsuccessful. The Court has determined that this case will be excluded from the inmate mediation program to preserve the Court's limited resources. The parties are free to privately discuss

1 2

litigation track. For the foregoing reasons,

3 4

5

IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to the Court's screening order, Docket No. 3, this action will proceed on Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment claim against Defendant Laub only.

settlement if they so choose but are not required to do so. This case will proceed on the normal

6 7

Mediation Program.

8 9

10

11 12

13

15

14

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

26

25

27

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this case will be excluded from the Court's Inmate Early

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis, Docket No. 1, is **GRANTED**. Plaintiff will not be required to pay an initial installment of the filing fee. In the event that this action is dismissed, the full filing fee must still be paid pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2). Plaintiff herein is permitted to maintain this action to conclusion without the necessity of prepayment of any additional fees or costs or the giving of security therefor.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915, as amended by the Prison Litigation Reform Act, the Nevada Department of Corrections will forward payments from the account of Rickie Hill, #87052 to the Clerk of the United States District Court, District of Nevada, 20% of the preceding month's deposits (in months that the account exceeds \$10.00) until the full \$350 filing fee has been paid for this action. The Clerk of the Court will send a copy of this order to the Finance Division of the Clerk's Office. The Clerk will send a copy of this order to the attention of Chief of Inmate Services for the Nevada Department of Corrections, P.O. Box 7011, Carson City, NV 89702.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court will electronically **SERVE** a copy of this order and a copy of Plaintiff's complaint, Docket No. 4, on the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Nevada by adding the Attorney General of the State of Nevada to the docket sheet. This does not indicate acceptance of service.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that service must be perfected no later than February 7, 2022, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m).

28

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, subject to the findings of the screening order, Docket No. 3, no later than November 29, 2021, the Attorney General's Office will file a notice advising the Court and Plaintiff of: (a) the names of the defendants for whom it accepts service; (b) the names of the defendants for whom it does not accept service, and (c) the names of the defendants for whom it is filing the last-known-address information under seal. As to any of the named defendants for whom the Attorney General's Office cannot accept service, the Office will file, under seal, but will not serve the inmate Plaintiff the last known address(es) of those defendant(s) for whom it has such information. If the last known address of the defendant(s) is a post office box, the Attorney General's Office will attempt to obtain and provide the last known physical address(es).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, if service cannot be accepted for any of the named defendant(s), Plaintiff will file a motion identifying the unserved defendant(s), requesting issuance of a summons, and specifying a full name and address for the defendant(s). For the defendant(s) as to which the Attorney General has not provided last-known-address information, Plaintiff will provide the full name and address for the defendant(s).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, if the Attorney General accepts service of process for any named defendant(s), such defendant(s) will file and serve an answer or other response to the complaint, Docket No. 4, no later than January 7, 2022.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff will serve upon defendant(s) or, if an appearance has been entered by counsel, upon their attorney(s), a copy of every pleading, motion or other document submitted for consideration by the Court. If Plaintiff electronically files a document with the Court's electronic-filing system, no certificate of service is required. Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(d)(1)(B); LR IC 4-1(b); LR 5-1. However, if Plaintiff mails the document to the Court, Plaintiff will include with the original document submitted for filing a certificate stating the date that a true and correct copy of the document was mailed to the defendants or counsel for the defendants. If counsel has entered a notice of appearance, Plaintiff will direct service to the individual attorney named in the notice of appearance, at the physical or electronic address stated therein. The Court may disregard any document received by a district judge or magistrate judge

which has not been filed with the Clerk, and any document received by a district judge, magistrate judge, or the Clerk which fails to include a certificate showing proper service when required. DATED: November 8, 2021. NANCY J. KOPPE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Case 2:21-cv-01022-GMN-NJK Document 5 Filed 11/08/21 Page 4 of 4